



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,269	09/08/2003	Lorenz Vogt	1700.0390002/JAG/FRC	8652
26111	7590 12/08/2005		EXAMINER	
	KESSLER, GOLDSTEI	OUSPENSKI, ILIA I		
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1644	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/656,269	VOGT ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
• =		This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) 🗌	)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement	t.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen		<b>"</b> П	andrew Commercial (DTC 442)					
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	8) Pa  5B/08) 5)	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (PT ner:	ΓO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/656,269 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1 30 are pending.
- 2. The instant application appears to be in sequence compliance for patent applications containing nucleotide sequence and/or amino acid sequence disclosures.
  - 3. For examination purposes the following is noted:

The instant claims contain recitations of multiple amino acid and nucleic acid sequences. These molecules are patentably distinct because their structures, physicochemical properties and/or mode of action are different, and they do not share a common structure that is disclosed to be essential for common utility. Therefore, the restriction has been set forth for each as separate groups, irrespective of the format of the claims.

Further, the instant claims contain recitations of various diseases or disorders. These pathological conditions are distinct because they differ in etiologies and therapeutic endpoints; thus each condition represents patentably distinct subject matter. Therefore, the restriction has been set forth for each as separate groups, irrespective of the format of the claims.

It is further noted that claim 28 contains a recitation of "host cell according to claim;" wherein the base claim has not been specified. For restriction purposes it is assumed that the intended base claim is claim 10. Applicant is invited to provide an appropriate correction.

Art Unit: 1644

## Restriction Requirement

Page 3

- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I V. Claims 1 3, 8 10, and 28, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid encoding one of hsB7-H4LV, hsB7-H5, mB7-H5, mB7-H6, as well as vectors, host cells and methods of producing the polypeptide, classified in Class 536, subclass 23.5, and Class 435, subclasses 320.1, 252.3, 455 and 69.1.
- VI X. Claims 4 7, 16 17, and 19 20, drawn to an isolated polypeptide comprising one of hsB7-H4LV, hsB7-H5, mB7-H5, mB7-H6, and to compositions comprising said polypeptide, classified in Class 530, subclass 350, and Class 514, subclass 12.
- XI XV. Claims 11 15, 18, 21, 29, and 30, drawn to an antibody that specifically binds one of polypeptides hsB7-H4LV, hsB7-H5, mB7-H5, mB7-H6, as well as hybridomas and transfected cell lines capable of producing said antibody, pharmaceutical compositions comprising said antibody, and methods of producing said antibody, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.1, Class 424, subclass 130.1, Class 435, subclasses 70.2 and 70.21.
- XVI XX. Claims 25 and 26, drawn to a method of identifying a compound that modulates an immune response, comprising a step of contacting a cell with one of polypeptides hsB7-H4LV, hsB7-H5, mB7-H5, mB7-H6, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- XXI XXV. Claim 27, drawn to a method of treating and/or preventing a disease that benefits from an enhanced immune response, comprising administering one of

Art Unit: 1644

polypeptides hsB7-H4LV, hsB7-H5, mB7-H5, mB7-H6, classified in Class 514, subclass 12.

XVI – XXX. Claim 27, drawn to a method of treating and/or preventing a disease that benefits from a <u>reduced</u> immune response, comprising administering one of polypeptides hsB7-H4LV, hsB7-H5, mB7-H5, mB7-H6, classified in Class 514, subclass 12.

5. Groups I – XV are different products. The products are patentably distinct because their structures, physicochemical properties and/or mode of action are different, and they do not share a common structure that is disclosed to be essential for common utility. Furthermore, they require non-coextensive searches in the scientific literature. Therefore, each product is patentably distinct, and searching of these Inventions would impose an undue burden.

Groups XVI – XXX are different methods. The methods differ with respect to one or more of ingredients, method steps, and/or endpoints; therefore, each method is patentably distinct. Furthermore, the distinct ingredients, method steps, and/or endpoints require separate and distinct searches. As such, it would be burdensome to search these Inventions together.

Groups VI – X and XVI – XXX are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptides of Groups VI – X can be used for raising antibodies, in addition to the methods recited.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,269

Art Unit: 1644

6. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Moreover, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 5

## Species Election

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct Species of the claimed Inventions XXI – XXV, wherein the disease is one selected from the group recited in claim 27.

These species are distinct because the pathological conditions differ in etiologies and therapeutic endpoints; thus each condition represents patentably distinct subject matter. Furthermore, the examination of species would require different searches in the scientific literature. As such, it would be burdensome to search these Species together.

Applicant is required under 35 USC 121 to elect a single disclosed species to which the claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

8. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 6

Art Unit: 1644

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

9. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is

Application/Control Number: 10/656,269

Art Unit: 1644

found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. *Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder*.

Page 7

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 10. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Application/Control Number: 10/656,269

Art Unit: 1644

Page 8

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ILIA OUSPENSKI whose telephone number is 571-272-2920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ILIA OUSPENSKI
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1644

December 6, 2005

PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.D '
PRIMARY EXAMINER

TECH COUNTY600